

AN ANALYTIC STUDY OF ARGUMENTATIVE UTTERANCES, THE FEMININE TEENAGED CONVERSATIONAL EXCHANGE IN AIN-TEMOUCHENT AND NEW-YORK: A CASE STUDY

Amel BENCHAREF
Ahmed Salhi University
ALGERIA
amel_46dz@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT

The current study epitomizes a critical endeavour to scrutinize and explore the phenomenon of argumentation within feminine youngsters' conversational exchange in divergent contexts to testify the argumentation process and measure the validity of arguments, moreover, figure out the obstacles and challenges that feminine youngsters confront during an argumentative exchange. This research work is carried out through an analytic approach, shedding much light on interdisciplinary areas of some sub-fields of research involving sociolinguistics and cognitive psychology. It strives to explore such issues as thoughts disorder, premises, argumentation structures, arguments interpretation and construction. It provides interested readers and critical thinking students with a literature overview that can serve as a point of departure for further study. The current investigation discussed the problematic empirically through applicable methods employed to examine feminine youngsters' arguments; furthermore, it attempts to analyze and interpret the results obtained from the data collection. The study, in its conclusive passages, reveals that arguing complexity is encountered by both New York and Temouchent feminine youngsters; however, it is distinguishing as it varies in percentages and frequency between the two selected samplings.

Keywords: Argumentation theory, arguments, feminine youngsters, obstacles, TAD and NYE.