# THE FORMAL MODEL OF THE GRAMMAR OF THE UZBEK LANGUAGE

#### Juraeva Nilufar Vayitovna

Associate Professor of the faculty of exact sciences of the Chirchik state pedagogical Institute

### ABSTRACT

The speed and ability of modern computers is expanding. And this makes it possible to solve some problems of different industries under new methods. The computer's capabilities have also shown their actions in the direction of computer linguistics, that is, in those directions of linguistics, which can be solved automatically more precisely with the help of a computer. The paper provides formal models of parts of Uzbek grammar speech, which can be used to develop morphological analysis and electronic database of Uzbek words. Formal models are designed for the official business style of the Uzbek language.

**Keywords**: Computer linguistics, formal models, formal grammar, morphological analysis, computer, development, electron base, axiomatic theory.

## INTRODUCTION, LITERATURE REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

Currently, in modern linguistics and modeling, more and more attention is paid to the problems of creating formal models of natural languages, i.e. writing linguistic concepts using mathematical symbols of a formal language or formal grammar. Many rules, spawning words, expressions and sentences are called formal grammar. The subject of formal grammar is primarily considered grammatical forms, their structure, grouping by parts of speech and the rules of inflection, the rules of combinations. The word-formation, the word is changeable and the inflectional model, the form of the word and the form of the phrase are the basic units of formal grammar. A formal language has much in common with a natural language, the sentences of which are also constructed in accordance with grammatical rules. Exceptions to the rules characteristic of the grammar of natural languages indicate that the system of rules fixed in the grammar of a language cannot accurately describe all the laws of language development. Formal languages are simpler than natural languages. They are created simultaneously with the system of rules for constructing words and sentences. Exceptions to the rules in a formal language can only indicate inconsistency and incorrectness of the system and grammar rules. Grammar rules can be written in various ways. The grammar of a natural language is traditionally described as grammatical rules in a natural language. The grammar of a formal language can also be described as a set of rules in a natural language. But usually they use special means of writing: formulas and schemes. [1]

Formal grammar has existed since when it became necessary to learn a foreign language. In general, when studying foreign languages, we only dealt with formal signs of words, translating each time, for example, the Uzbek form of the word into the corresponding foreign one and vice versa. Logical grammar built all its positions on the basis of the semantic side of the word, then the new one completely ignores the meaning, based solely on form. Meanwhile, formal grammar provides a surprisingly clear and concise outline. The task is to formulate a grammar - a set of rules that determine which sequences of words in a given sentence satisfy the generated sentence obtained through certain rules for constructing sentences. [2]

## Formal model of parts of speech

The development of a formal language model is supposed to be carried out on the basis of the concepts of axiomatic theories of mathematical logic.

A 3-level analysis of the sentences of the Uzbek language is proposed:[3]

1) parts of speech (words);

2) members of the sentences;

3) constructions of the sentences.

To present a formal model of the grammar of the Uzbek language, we give a formal definition of some grammatical concepts.

The word is determined by the formula

 $Word = \begin{cases} source word \\ derivative word \end{cases}$ The list of words is determined by the complete dictionary of words in a given language (source words) and the rules of word formation (derived words). Words formed using derivational affixes are also defined by the dictionary of a given language. Belonging to a particular part of speech is also determined by the dictionary.

Derived word = source word + formative affixes

It should be noted that proper names (geographical names, names of people and others) and words defined by word-building formulas as: word + affix, word + word, word - word, affix + word are considered to be original, as they are given in the dictionary.

So the source words are:

- 1. Noun daftar(notebook);
- 2. Adverb tez(quick);
- 3. Adjective katta(large);
- 4. The preposition bilan(with);
- 5. Numeral yigirma(twenty);
- 6. The conjuction va (and);
- 7. The verb topmoκ(to find);
- 8. Modal words -yo'q(no).
- 9. The pronoun ular(they);

Here are ways to form words using formative affixes of the Uzbek language.

It should be noted that not all affixes given (specific examples are considered, but this does not mean that this is a categorically correct application for all words) can join all words. This paper does not provide a detailed description of this.

We introduce the following notation:

- $\oplus$  join operation;
- $\Downarrow$  operation connection or not connection
- V operation or;
- $\hat{O}$  -is a zero indicator.
- 1) Basics of parts of speech (words)::

 $\Delta_{\rm s}$ - is the basis of the noun;  $\Delta_{\rm pr}$  - is the basis of the adjective;

 $\Delta_{nb}$  - "is the basis of the numeral;  $\Delta_r$  -is the basis of the pronoun;

- $\Delta_{a}$  is the basis of the adverb;  $\Delta_{Vb}$  the basis of the verb;
- $\Delta_{vb_3}$  the basis of an incomplete verb;
- 2) E − case:
  - $e_1$  the subjective case- $\acute{\Theta}$ ;  $e_2$  possessive case - $\acute{\Theta}$ ,-ning;
  - $e_3$  accusative case  $\dot{\emptyset}$ , ni;  $e_4$  dative case ga;
  - e<sub>5</sub> original case -dan; e<sub>6</sub> locative case da;
- 3) P affixes of belonging:  $p_1 i(si)$ ;  $p_2 lari$ ;  $p_3 niki$ ;
- 4) N is the plural affix of lar;

- 5) M is the affix indicating the sign of the place and time of the arc -dagi;
- 6) U is the affix specifying a limitation -gacha;
- 7) S noun: s<sub>1</sub> common noun -kitob(book); s<sub>2</sub> -proper noun Toshkent(Tashkent);
  s<sub>3</sub> abstract noun tinchlik(world);
- 8) Pr-adjective: pr<sub>1</sub> relative:yozgi(summer); pr<sub>2</sub> quality: katta(big);
- 9) T Adjective degrees:  $t_1$  positive : $\dot{\emptyset}$ ;  $t_2$  comparative:roq;
- 10) Nb is the numeral:
- nb<sub>1</sub> ordinal:beshinchi(fifth); nb<sub>2</sub>-quantitative:besh(five); nb<sub>3</sub>-piece: beshta(five);
- nb<sub>4</sub>-separation:beshtadan(five); nb<sub>5</sub>-fractional:beshdan ikki(two of five)
- 11) R pronoun: r<sub>1</sub> personal men, biz, u, ular (II person and plural not used);
  - r<sub>2</sub> reflexive o'z; r<sub>3</sub> -index ushbu; r<sub>4</sub> interrogative ким;
  - $r_5$  specific- hamma;  $r_6$  negative hech kim;
- 12) A is an adverb:
  - a<sub>1</sub> -of the mode of action- to'satdan(suddenly); a<sub>2</sub> time erta(tomorrow);
  - a<sub>3</sub> place orqadan(from the back); a<sub>4</sub> degrees- qisman(partually);
  - a 5 purpose qasddan(intentionally);
- 13) Vb -verb
  - Vb<sub>1</sub> the main verb keldi(come);
  - Vb<sub>2</sub> auxiliary verb bo'ldi(not individually translated);
  - Vb<sub>3</sub> incomplete verb edi(not individually translated);
- 14) LH face and number affixes
  - LH1 I conjugation: l<sub>1</sub> -man; l<sub>2</sub>-miz; l<sub>3</sub>-di; l<sub>4</sub>-dilar;
  - LH2 II conjugation:  $l_1$  -m;  $l_2$  -k;  $l_3$ -di;  $l_4$ -dilar;
  - LH3 III conjugation:  $l_1$ -(i)m  $\oplus$  yo'q;  $l_2$ -(s)i $\oplus$ yo'q;  $l_3$ -(i)miz $\oplus$ yo'q;  $l_4$ -(lar)i  $\oplus$  yo'q;
  - LH4 IV conjugation:  $l_1$  -sin;  $l_2$  -ishsin, -(sin)lar;
- 15) NK mood affixes:
  - NK1 imperative:  $nk_1$  sin;  $nk_2$  ishsin, -(sin)lar;
  - NK2 conditional sa, -sa edi;
  - NK3 inclination of the target moqchi;
  - NK4 Ø -indicative mood;
- 16) Zg collateral affixes:
  - $zg_1$  the main guarantee  $\emptyset$ ;  $zg_2$  -reflexive pledge of the verb- in, il;
  - $zg_3$  mutual pledge of the verb ish;  $zg_4$  passive pledge of the verb -il;
  - zg<sub>5</sub> compulsory verb -ar, -dir, -tir, -gaz, -az, -kaz, -kiz, -qaz.
- 17) Ng negative forms:  $ng_1 ma_2 ma_3$ ;  $ng_2 LH3$ ;
- 18) Tm affixes of time
  - 1.  $tm_1 past tense: tm_1^1 di; tm_1^2 ib; tm_1^3 ar, -ir; tm_1^4 ar edi, ir edi; tm_1^5 gan, yotgan; tm_1^6 gan edi, yotgan edi;$
  - 2.  $tm_2$  present:
    - $tm_2^1$  a,y;  $tm_2^2$  yap;  $tm_2^3$  ib(status verb turibdi);
  - 3.tm<sub>3</sub> future tense moqchi
- 19) Fv functional forms of the verb
  - $fv_1$  communion  $(\Delta_{Vb} \oplus ((ganV(aVy)) \oplus digan V ((a)rV(i)rV mas);$
  - fv<sub>2</sub> the participle-  $(\Delta_{Vb} \oplus (- \text{gach V} \text{guncha V} \text{gani});$
  - fv<sub>3</sub> infinitive  $(\Delta_{vb} \oplus (-ish \lor uv));$
- 20) Mv modal verbs -shart, zarur, emas, kerak, yo'q;

Now we give models of parts of speech.

- 1. A noun model with formative affixes:  $S((\Delta_{s_1} \oplus \Downarrow N \oplus \Downarrow p_i \oplus \Downarrow M \oplus \Downarrow e_i) \lor (\Delta_{s_2} \oplus \Downarrow N \oplus \Downarrow (U \lor M) \oplus \Downarrow e_i) \lor (\Delta_{s_3} \oplus p_i \oplus U)$   $V(\Delta_{s_3} \oplus \Downarrow p_i \oplus M \oplus e_i))$ Examples: kitob-im-ning(my book's),
- Adjective Model with Formative Affixes: Pr<sub>i</sub>(Δ<sub>pr</sub>⊕↓T<sub>i</sub>⊕↓N⊕↓p<sub>i</sub>⊕↓e<sub>i</sub>⊕↓LH1) Examples:Katta-lar-ni(large)
- 3. Numeral model with formative affixes: Nb( $(\Delta_{nb_{1,2}} \oplus \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}) \vee (\Delta_{nb_{1,2}} \oplus \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{W}) \vee (\Delta_{nb_3} \oplus \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}) \vee (\Delta_{nb_{4,5}} \oplus \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W})$ Examples: Beshinchi-da (fifth)
- 4. A pronoun model with formative affixes:  $R((\Delta_{r_i} \bigoplus \mathbb{V} N \bigoplus \mathbb{V} p_i \bigoplus e_i) \mathbb{V}(\Delta_{r_i} \bigoplus \mathbb{V} (M \mathbb{V} U) \bigoplus \mathbb{V} e_1) \mathbb{V}(\Delta_{r_{1,2}} \bigoplus \mathbb{V} p_i \bigoplus \mathbb{V} LH_1))$ Examples: Ular-niki-ga (to them)
- 5. Adverb model with formative affixes:  $A(\Delta_{a_i} \oplus \Downarrow (M \lor U) \oplus \Downarrow e_1)$ Examples: Erta-dan(from tomorrow)
- 6. The model of functional forms of the verb with formative affixes:  $Fv((\Delta_{r_{1,3}} \oplus \Downarrow N \oplus \Downarrow p_i \oplus \Downarrow e_i) \lor (\Delta_{r_{1,2}} \oplus \Downarrow LH1) \lor (\Delta_{r_1} \oplus \Downarrow N \oplus \Downarrow (M \lor U) \oplus \Downarrow e_1))$ Examples: Ket-gan(gone)

# 7. Verb model with formative affixes:

1)Vb<sub>1</sub><sup>1</sup>(( $\Delta_{Vb} \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} \oplus (\operatorname{tm}_1^5 \vee \operatorname{tm}_1^2)$ )V( $\bigcup_{n_1} \oplus (\operatorname{tm}_2^1 \vee \operatorname{tm}_2^2)$ )V(NK3)V(( $zg_2 \oplus \operatorname{tm}_2$ ) V( $zg_3 \oplus \operatorname{tm}_2$ )V( $zg_5 \oplus \operatorname{tm}_2$ ) $\oplus$ LH1)V( $\bigcup_{n_1} \oplus$ NK1)) Examples: Kel-ma-gan-dim(didn't come ) 2) Vb<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>(( $\Delta_{Vb} \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} \oplus (\operatorname{tm}_1^1 \vee \operatorname{tm}_1^3 \vee \operatorname{tm}_1^4 \vee \operatorname{tm}_1^5 \vee \operatorname{tm}_1^6) \oplus$ LH2)V( $zg_2 \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} \oplus \operatorname{tm}_1^1$ )) V( $zg_3 \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} \oplus \operatorname{tm}_1^1$ )V( $zg_4 \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} \oplus \operatorname{tm}_1^1$ )V( $zg_5 \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} \oplus \operatorname{tm}_1^1$ )) Examples: Kel-ib ket-gan-dim(came) 3) Vb<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup>(( $\Delta_{Vb} \oplus \bigcup_{n_2} \vee zg_3 \vee zg_4 \vee zg_5) \oplus \operatorname{tm}_1^5$ )) $\oplus$ LH3)) Examples: Kel-gan edi-k(came) 4) Vb<sub>1</sub><sup>4</sup>((( $zg_{2,4} \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} )$ V( $\bigcup_{n_1} \oplus \operatorname{tm}_2^1$ )V( $zg_5 \oplus \bigcup_{n_1} )$ ) $\oplus$ LH4)) Examples: Bajar-il-sin(must by done)

This paper offers only formal models of parts of speech, i.e. the first level of analysis. According to this scheme, you can develop formal models of sentence members and sentence structures in the Uzbek language.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Марченко А.Л., С++ . Бархатный путь. Избранные главы.
- Ф. ЕСЬКОВ. Логическая или формальная грамматика? (Из доклада на Луганской Городской конференции учителей Соцвоса), Раденска школа (Луганск), 1926-12, стр. 78-83.
- 3. Пулатов А.К., Жураева Н.В. Разработка формальной модели грамматики узбекского языка. Узбекский математический журнал. №1. Ташкент. 2002. Фан.