

ISLAMIC ARBITRATION PROSPECTIVE THROUGH ANALYSIS OF THE EARLIEST GREEK ARBITRARY CASE FROM HOMER'S ILIAD: MENELAUS VS. ANTILOCHUS

Ilxom Bekmirzayev Isroilovich

Associate professor of “Islamic studies and the study of the history of Islamic civilization ISESCO” at
International Islamic Academy of Uzbekistan
Doctor of Historical Sciences ilhomorient@gmail.com

&

Saidilyos Khakimov Saidaziz o'g'li

PhD (base doctorate) student at International Islamic Academy of Uzbekistan
saidilyosk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The primary objective of this paper is twofold. First is to show the free choice of alternative dispute resolutions, especially arbitration in contractual cases, existed during the ancient Greek period when Homer's mythical Iliad was written. The Homer's arbitration case, Menelaus vs. Antilochus, successfully fulfilled the main mission of alternative dispute resolutions by illustrating an elimination of conflict without litigation. The entire work relating above part is to support the idea that a faith based Islamic arbitration is far more effective to solve modern disputes in both local and international cases.

Keywords: Islam, arbitration, dispute, myth, Iliad, Homer.