Unsaturated Solutions of A Nonlinear Delay Partial Difference

Equation with Variable Coefficients

Xiangyu Zhu, Yuanhong Tao* †

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Yanbian University, Yanji, Jilin 133002

Abstract: By employing the concept and the properties of frequency measures of infinite double sequence and the concept of unsaturated solution of partial difference equations, the unsaturated solutions for the nonlinear partial difference equation with variable coefficients is discussed. Only using the concept of "frequency measure" of the level sets of the involved parameter sequences in equation, the sufficient conditions of the solutions to be unsaturated are presented, thus how frequent the solutions oscillate is well described.

Keywords: partial difference equation; frequency measure; unsaturated solution

1. Introduction

In recent years, many results have been found about the oscillatory and Non-oscillatory solutions of difference equations. However, the classical concept of oscillation still can not describe the oscillation of sequences. Therefore, Chuanjun Tian [1] first introduced the concept of frequency measure of sequence, and described frequent oscillation of sequence. In order to further improve the frequent oscillation of sequence, Zhiqiang Zhu et al. [2] also defined the concept of frequently positive oscillation and frequently negative oscillation of sequence. At present, there are some results about the frequent oscillation of solutions of difference equations, see [3-9].

Let Z be the set of integers, $Z[k, l] = \{i \in Z | i=k, k+1, L, l\}$ and

 $Z[k,\infty) = \{i \in Z | i=k,k+1,L \}$

In this paper, we discuss the unsaturated solutions of the following nonlinear partial difference equation with coefficients of variable sign

$$u_{m,n} = u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + p_{m,n} |u_{m+k_1,n-l_1}|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} u_{m+k_1,n-l_1} + q_{m,n} |u_{m+k_2,n-l_2}|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} u_{m+k_2,n-l_2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}[0,\infty), \alpha \in [0,1), \beta \in (1,\infty); k_1, k_2, l_1 \text{ and } l_2 \text{ are all nonnegative}$

integers, $k_1 > k_2 \ge 0$, $l_1 > l_2 \ge 0$. Moreover, $p = \{p_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}[0,\infty)}$ and $q = \{q_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}[0,\infty)}$

are real double sequences satisfying the condition (*): if $p = \{p_{m,n}\}$ has negative item, then

^{*}This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China under number 11761073.

[†] Correspondence Author: Yuan-hong Tao, E-mail: <u>taoyuanhong12@126.com</u>

Vol. 5 No. 1, 2018 ISSN 2059-9951

the denominator of $\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}$ is a positive odd number; similarly, if $q = \{q_{m,n}\}$ has negative item, then the denominator of $\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}$ is also a positive odd number.

In the sequel, $p = \{p_{m,n}\}$ and $q = \{q_{m,n}\}$ may have negative items. Generally, for $(m,n) \in [-1,\infty) \times [-1,\infty)$, the double sequence $\{u_{m,n}\}_{(m,n) \in [-1,\infty) \times [-1,\infty)}$ is called the solution of equation (1.1) if it keeps the equation (1.1) holds.

2. Preliminary

Let $Z^2 = Z \times Z$, we call an element of Z^2 to be a lattice. Denote the union, intersection and difference of two sets A and B to be A+B, $A \cdot B$ and $A \setminus B$ respectively. If $\Omega \subseteq Z^2$, then we denote the potential of Ω to be $|\Omega|$; and denoting $\Omega^{(m,n)} = \{(s,t) \in \Omega | s \le m, t \le n\}$.

For any $\Omega \in Z^2$ and integers m, n, set

$$X^{m}(\Omega) = \{(s+m,t) \in Z^{2} | (s,t) \in \Omega\}; \quad Y^{n}(\Omega) = \{(s,t+n) \in Z^{2} | (s,t) \in \Omega\}.$$

and $X^{\beta}_{\alpha}Y^{\tau}_{\gamma}\Omega = \sum_{s=\alpha}^{\beta}\sum_{t=\gamma}^{\tau}X^{s}Y^{t}\Omega$, where α, β, γ and τ are all integers satisfying $\alpha \leq \beta, \gamma \leq \tau$, then

$$(s,t) \in Z^{2} \setminus X^{\beta}_{\alpha} Y^{\tau}_{\gamma} \Omega \Leftrightarrow (s-k,t-l) \in Z^{2} \setminus \Omega, \quad \alpha \le k \le \beta, \gamma \le l \le \tau.$$
(2.1)

Definition 2.1^[1] Let $\Omega \subseteq Z^2$, if the upper limit $\limsup_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{\left|\Omega^{(m,n)}\right|}{mn}$ exists, then we call

the limit to be the upper frequent measure of Ω , denoting $\mu^*(\Omega)$. Similarly, if the lower limit $\liminf_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{|\Omega^{(m,n)}|}{mn}$ exists, then we call the limit to be the lower frequent measure of Ω , denoting $\mu_*(\Omega)$. If $\mu^*(\Omega) = \mu_*(\Omega)$, then the corresponding limit is called the frequent measure of Ω , denoting $\mu(\Omega)$

Definition 2.2^[1] Let $u = \{u_{m,n}\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary real double sequence, if $\mu^*(u \le 0) = 0$, then we say that u is frequently positive, if $\mu^*(u \ge 0) = 0$, then we say that u



is frequently negative. If u is neither frequently positive nor frequently negative, then we say that u is frequently oscillatory.

Lemma 2.1^[1] $\mu(\emptyset) \models \mu(\Omega) = 1$. If A is an arbitrary subset of Ω , then $0 \le \mu_*(A) \le \mu^*(A) \le 1$. Particularly, if B is a finite subset of Ω , then $\mu(B) = 0$.

Lemma 2.2^[1] Let A and B be subsets of Ω , then $\mu^*(A+B) \le \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B)$. If AI $B = \emptyset$, then

$$\mu_*(A) + \mu_*(B) \le \mu_*(A + B) \le \mu_*(A) + \mu^*(B) \le \mu^*(A + B) \le \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B).$$

Hence, $\mu_*(A) + \mu * (\Omega \setminus A) = 1.$

Lemma 2.3^[1] Let A and B be subsets of Ω , then

$$\mu^*(A) - \mu^*(B) \le \mu^*(A \setminus B) \le \mu^*(A) - \mu_*(B);$$

$$\mu_*(A) - \mu^*(B) \leq \mu_*(A \setminus B) \leq \mu_*(A) - \mu_*(B).$$

From Lemma 2.3 we can easily get that

Lemma 2.4^[1] Let A and B be subsets of Ω , then

$$\mu_*(A) + \mu^*(B) - \mu^*(A \cdot B) \le \mu^*(A + B) \le \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B) - \mu_*(A \cdot B);$$

$$\mu_*(A) + \mu^*(B) - \mu^*(A \cdot B) \le \mu_*(A + B) \le \mu_*(A) + \mu^*(B) - \mu_*(A \cdot B).$$

Lemma 2.5^[2] For any subset A of Ω , we have

$$\mu^*(X^{\beta}_{\alpha}Y^{\tau}_{\gamma}A) \leq (\beta - \alpha + 1)(\tau - \gamma + 1)\mu^*(A);$$
$$\mu_*(X^{\beta}_{\alpha}Y^{\tau}_{\gamma}A) \leq (\beta - \alpha + 1)(\tau - \gamma + 1)\mu_*(A).$$

where α, β, γ and τ are all integers satisfying $\alpha \leq \beta, \gamma \leq \tau$.

Lemma 2.6^[1] Let A_1, A_2, L , A_n be *n* subsets of Ω , then

$$\mu^* (\sum_{i=1}^n A_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^* (A_i) - (n-1)\mu_* (\prod_{i=1}^n A_i),$$
$$\mu_* (\sum_{i=1}^n A_i) \le \mu_* (A_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n \mu^* (A_i) - (n-1)\mu_* (\prod_{i=1}^n A_i).$$

Lemma 2.7^[1] Let A and B be subsets of Ω , if $\mu^*(A) + \mu_*(B) > 1$, then $A \cdot B$ is an infinite set.



3. Unsaturated Solutions

Let $\{u_{m,n}\}\$ be a solution of equation (1.1), if there exists $M \in Z^+$ such that $u_{m,n} > 0$

for any $m, n \ge M$, then we call $\{u_{m,n}\}$ to be an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1). Similarly, we can define eventually negative solution, eventually non-positive solution, eventually non-negative solution of equation (1.1). If the solution of equation (1.1) is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, then we call that the solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory. Obviously, the solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if for any subset $\{(m,n) \in N^2 : m, n \ge -1\}$ of Ω , there exists (m_1, n_1) , (m_2, n_2) such that $u_{m_1, n_1} \cdot u_{m_2, n_2} \le 0$.

Definition 3.1^[4] Assume that $u = \{u_{m,n}\}_{(m,n)\in\Omega}$ is an arbitrary real double sequence, if

 $\mu^*(u>0) = \omega \in (0,1)$, then we call that u has unsaturated upper positive part. If $\mu_*(u>0) = \omega \in (0,1)$, then we call that u has unsaturated lower positive part. If $\mu^*(u>0) = \mu_*(u>0) = \omega \in (0,1)$, then we call that u has unsaturated positive part.

Similarly, we can define that u has unsaturated negative part. Obviously, if $u = \{u_{m,n}\}$ is eventually positive or eventually negative, then $\mu^*(u > 0) = 1$ or $\mu^*(u > 0) = 0$. So if the sequence $u = \{u_{m,n}\}$ has unsaturated upper positive part, then it must never be eventually positive or eventually non-positive, that is to say, u is oscillatory.

We then discuss whether the solution of equation (1.1) has unsaturated upper positive part. For any double sequence $\{v_{i,j}\}$ defining on Ω , define a level set $\{(i, j) \in \Omega | v_{i,j} > c\}$ as (v > c). Similarly, we can define $(v \ge c)$, (v < c) and $(v \le c)$.

For convenience, we assume $Z[-1,\infty) \times Z[-1,\infty) = \Omega$. For any real double sequence $\{u_{m,n}\}_{(m,n)\in\Omega}$ define the following two partial differences:

$$V_1 u_{m,n} = u_{m+1,n} - u_{m,n};$$
 $V_2 u_{m,n} = u_{m+n,\overline{1}} u_{m}$

Lemma 3.1^[6] Let $x, y \ge 0$ and p, q > 0, if $\frac{1}{p+q} = 1$, then $\frac{1}{p}x + \frac{1}{q}y \ge x^{\frac{1}{p}}y^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

Lemma 3.2 Assume that there exists $m_0 \ge 1$ and $n_0 \ge 2l_1$ such that $p_{m,n} \ge 0$,

 $q_{m,n} \ge 0$, where $(m,n) \in Z[m_0 - 1, m_0 + 2k_1] \times Z[n_0 - 2l_1, n_0 + 1]$. If $u_{m,n}$ is any solution of

European Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science

Vol. 5 No. 1, 2018 ISSN 2059-9951

equation (1.1), then

$$V_1 u_{m-1,n} \ge 0, \quad V_2 u_{m,n} \le 0,$$

for $u_{m,n} \ge 0$, where $(m,n) \in Z[m_0, m_0 + k_1] \times Z[n_0 - l_1, n_0]$; and

$$\mathbf{V}_{1}\boldsymbol{u}_{m-1,n} \leq 0, \qquad \mathbf{V}_{2}\boldsymbol{u}_{m,n} \geq 0,$$

for $u_{m,n} \leq 0$, where $(m,n) \in Z[m_0, m_0 + k_1] \times Z[n_0 - l_1, n_0]$.

Proof. If $u_{m,n} \ge 0$, $(m,n) \in Z[m_0 - 1, m_0 + 2k_1] \times Z[n_0 - 2l_1, n_0 + 1]$, then from equation (1.1) we have

$$u_{m,n} = u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + p_{m,n} u_{m+k_1,n-l_1}^{\alpha} + q_{m,n} u_{m+k_2,n-l_2}^{\beta} \ge u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1}.$$

So

$$V_1 u_{m-1,n} \ge 0, \quad V_2 u_{m,n} \le 0,$$

where $(m,n) \in Z[m_0,m_0+k_1] \times Z[n_0-l_1,n_0].$

Similarly, if $u_{m,n} \leq 0$, $(m,n) \in Z[m_0 - 1, m_0 + 2k_1] \times Z[n_0 - 2l_1, n_0 + 1]$, we have

$$V_1 u_{m-1n} \le 0, \quad V_2 u_{m,n} \ge 0,$$

where $(m,n) \in Z[m_0,m_0+k_1] \times Z[n_0-l_1,n_0].$

Assume that
$$\theta = \min\left\{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta - 1}, \frac{\beta - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right\}$$
, since $\alpha \in [0, 1), \beta \in (1, \infty)$, then $\theta > 1$. Set

$$p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} = \left\{p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}}_{m,n} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}}_{m,n}\right\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}[-1,\infty),}$$

then under the assumption (*), $p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}}q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}}$ makes sense. If $p_{m,n} \ge 0$, $q_{m,n} \ge 0$, then the condition (*) can be deleted.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that there exists $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ and $\omega \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\mu^{*}(p < 0) = \omega_{1}, \quad \mu^{*}(q < 0) = \omega_{2}, \quad \mu_{*}[(p < 0) \cdot (q < 0)] = \omega_{3},$$

$$\beta^{-1} \quad 1 - \alpha$$

$$\mu_*(\theta p^{\frac{p-1}{\beta-\alpha}}q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} > 1) > 4(k_1+1)(l_1+1)(\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega-\omega_3).$$

then any solution of equation (1.1) has unsaturated upper positive part.



Proof. Let $u = \{u_{m,n}\}$ be any solution of equation (1.1), then $\mu^*(u > 0) \in (\omega, 1)$. Otherwise $\mu^*(u > 0) \le \omega$ or $\mu^*(u > 0) = 1$.

$$\begin{split} & \text{If } \mu^*(u>0) \leq \omega \,, \quad \text{then from Lemma 2.2 to Lemma 2.6 we have} \\ & 1 = \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p<0) + (q<0) + (u>0)] \right\} + \mu_* \left\{ X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p<0) + (q<0) + (u>0)] \right\} \\ & \leq \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p<0) + (q<0) + (u>0)] \right\} \\ & + 4(k_1+1)(l_1+1) \left\{ \mu_* [(p<0) + (q<0)] + \mu^*(u>0) \right\} \\ & \leq \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p<0) + (q<0) + (u>0)] \right\} \\ & + 4(k_1+1)(l_1+1) \left\{ \mu^*(p<0) + \mu^*(q<0) + \mu^*(u>0) - \mu_* [(p<0) \cdot (q<0)] \right\} \\ & \leq \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p<0) + (q<0) + (u>0)] \right\} + 4(k_1+1)(l_1+1)(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega - \omega_3) \\ & < \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p<0) + (q<0) + (u>0)] \right\} + \mu_*(\theta p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} > 1). \end{split}$$

then from Lemma 2.7 we know that the intersection

$$\{\Omega \setminus X^1_{-2k_1}Y^{2l_1}_{-1}[(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u > 0)]\} \cdot (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}}q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} > 1)$$

is an infinite subset of Ω . So according to Lemma 3.2, there exists $m_0 \ge 1$, $n_0 \ge 2l_1$ such that

$$\theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} > 1, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$p_{m,n} \ge 0, \quad q_{m,n} \ge 0, \quad u_{m,n} \le 0, \quad (m,n) \in Z[m_0 - 1, m_0 + 2k_1] \times Z[n_0 - 2l_1, n_0 + 1].$$
 (3.3)

From (3.2) and Lemma 3.2 again, we have

$$V_1 u_{m-1,n} \le 0, \quad V_2 u_{m,n} \ge 0, \quad (m,n) \in Z[m_0, m_0 + k_1] \times Z[n_0 - l_1, n_0].$$

Therefore $u_{m_0+k_1,n_0-l_1} \ge u_{m_0,n_0-l_2} \ge u_{m_0,n_0} > 0.$

It follows from equation (1.1) and Lemma 3.2 that

European Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science

Vol. 5 No. 1, 2018 SSN 2059-995

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= u_{m_0-1,n_0} + u_{m_0,n_0+1} - u_{m_0,n_0} + p_{m_0,n_0} \left| u_{m_0+k_1,n_0-l_1} \right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} u_{m_0+k_1,n_0-l_1} \\ &+ q_{m_0,n_0} \left| u_{m_0+k_2,n_0-l_2} \right|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} u_{m_0+k_2,n_0-l_2} \\ &\leq u_{m_0-1,n_0} + u_{m_0,n_0+1} - u_{m_0,n_0} - \left(p_{m_0,n_0} \left| u_{m_0+k_2,n_0-l_2} \right|^{\alpha} + q_{m_0,n_0} \left| u_{m_0+k_2,n_0-l_2} \right|^{\beta} \right) \\ &\leq u_{m_0-1,n_0} + u_{m_0,n_0+1} - u_{m_0,n_0} - \theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} \left| u_{m_0+k_2,n_0-l_2} \right| \\ &\leq u_{m_0-1,n_0} + u_{m_0,n_0+1} - u_{m_0,n_0} + \theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} u_{m_0,n_0} \\ &\leq -u_{m_0,n_0} + \theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} u_{m_0,n_0} \\ &= [-1 + \theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}}] u_{m_0,n_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{m_0,n_0} \leq 0$, then $\theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\overline{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\overline{\beta-\alpha}} \leq 1$, which contradicts (3.2).

Next, assume $\mu^*(u > 0) = 1$, according to Lemma 2.2 we have $\mu_*(u \le 0) = 0$. From Lemma 2.2 to Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} &1 = \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} + \mu_* \left\{ X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} \\ &\leq \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} \\ &\quad + 4(k_1 + 1)(l_1 + 1)\mu_* [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} \\ &\leq \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} \\ &\quad + 4(k_1 + 1)(l_1 + 1) \left\{ \mu^* (p < 0) + \mu^* (q < 0) + \mu_* (u \le 0) - \mu_* [(p < 0) \cdot (q < 0)] \right\} \\ &\leq \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} + 4(k_1 + 1)(l_1 + 1)(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3) \\ &< \mu^* \left\{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)] \right\} + \mu_* (\theta p \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} > 1). \end{split}$$

So according to Lemma 2.7 we know that the intersection

$$\{\Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1}[(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (u \le 0)]\} \cdot (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} > 1)$$

is an infinite subset of Ω . Similar to the above discussion, $\mu^*(u > 0) = 1$ does not hold, so the conclusion is correct.

Progressive Academic Publishing, UK Page 64



Theorem 3.2 Assume that there exist constants $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ and $\omega \in (0,1)$, such that

$$\begin{split} \mu^{*}(p < 0) &= \omega_{1}, \quad \mu^{*}(q < 0) = \omega_{2}, \quad \mu_{*}(\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} > 1) = \omega_{3}, \\ \mu_{*}[(p < 0) \cdot (q < 0) \cdot (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1)] > \frac{\omega_{1} + \omega_{2} + \omega + \omega_{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{8(k_{1} + 1)(l_{1} + 1)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$$

then any solution of equation (1.1) has unsaturated upper positive part.

Proof. To prove $\mu^*(u > 0) \in (\omega, 1)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we only need to prove $\mu^*(u > 0) \le \omega$ and $\mu^*(u > 0) = 1$.

Firstly, assume $\mu^*(u > 0) \le \omega$, then form Lemma 2.2 to Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\begin{split} & \mu^* \{ \Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1) + (u > 0)] \} \\ &= 1 - \mu_* \{ X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1} [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1) + (u > 0)] \} \\ &\geq 1 - 4(k_1 + 1)(l_1 + 1) \{ \mu_* [(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1)] + \mu^*(u > 0) \} \\ &\leq 1 - 4(k_1 + 1)(l_1 + 1) \{ \mu^*(p < 0) + \mu^*(q < 0) + \mu_*(\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1) + \mu^*(u > 0) \} \\ &= -2\mu_* [(p < 0) \cdot (q < 0) \cdot (\theta p^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1)] \} \end{split}$$

From Lemma 2.7 we know that $\Omega \setminus X_{-2k_1}^1 Y_{-1}^{2l_1}[(p < 0) + (q < 0) + (\theta p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}}q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} \le 1) + (u > 0)]$ is an infinite set. So according to Lemma 3.2, there exist $m_0 \ge 1$, $n_0 \ge 2l_1$ such that

$$\theta p_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q_{m_0,n_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} > 1,$$

$$p_{m,n} \ge 0, \ q_{m,n} \ge 0, \ u_{m,n} \le 0, (m,n) \in Z[m_0 - 1, m_0 + 2k_1] \times Z[n_0 - 2l_1, n_0 + 1].$$

Similar to Theorem 3.1, we get the contradiction. So $\mu^*(u > 0) \le \omega$ does not hold, then $\mu^*(u > 0) \ne 1$, i.e., $\mu^*(u > 0) \in (\omega, 1)$. Hence the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.3 Assume that there exist constants $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4$ and $\omega \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\mu^*(p<0) = \omega_1, \quad \mu^*(q<0) = \omega_2, \quad \mu^*(\theta p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} \le 1) = \omega_3,$$
$$\mu_*[(p<0) \cdot (q<0) \cdot (\theta p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} \le 1)] = \omega_4$$

Progressive Academic Publishing, UK Page 65



Satisfying $4(k_1+1)(l_1+1)(\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega+\omega_3-2\omega_4) < 1$, then any solution of equation (1.1) has unsaturated upper positive part.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Example. In equation (1.1), set
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$$
, $\beta = \frac{4}{3}$, $p_{m,n} = 3^n$, $q_{m,n} = 1$, $k_1 = 3$, $k_2 = 2$,

$$l_1 = 2$$
, $l_2 = 1$, $\theta = \min\{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta - 1}, \frac{\beta - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\}$, then the equation should be

$$u_{m,n} = u_{m+1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + 3^n \left| u_{m+3,n-2} \right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} u_{m+3,n-2} + \left| u_{m+2,n-1} \right|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} u_{m+2,n-1}.$$

Obviously,

$$\mu_*(\theta p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} > 1) = 1, \quad \mu^*(p < 0) \models \mu^* q < 0 \models$$

$$\mu_*((p < 0) \cdot (q < 0)) = \mu^*(\theta p^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\alpha}} q^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} > 1) = 1.$$

Moreover, $\mu_*((p < 0) \cdot (q < 0) \cdot (\theta p^{\overline{\beta - \alpha}} q^{\overline{\beta - \alpha}} \le 1)) = 0.$

Then from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, any solution of equation (1.1) has unsaturated upper positive part.

REFERENCES

[1] Chuanjun TIAN, Shengli XIE, Suisheng CHENG. Measures for Oscillatory Sequences[J]. Comput. Math. Applic. 36 (1998): 149-161.

[2] Zhiqiang ZHU, Suisheng CHENG. Frequently Oscillatory Solutions of Neutral Difference Equations[J]. Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics. 29 (2005): 627-634.

[3] Suisheng CHENG Partial Difference Equations[M], Taylor and Francis, London and New York, 2003: 58-90.

[4] Chuanjun TIAN, Suisheng CHENG, Shengli XIE. Frequent Oscillation Criteria for a Delay Difference Equation[J]. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj. 46 (2003): 421-439.

[5] Zhiqiang ZHU, Suisheng CHENG. Frequently oscillatory solutions for multi-level partial difference equations[J]. Internat. Math. Forum. 31 (2006): 1497-1509.

[6] Jun YANG, Yujing ZHANG. Frequent oscillatory solutions of a nonlinear partial difference equation[J]. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 224(2009): 492-499.

[7] Dongmei LI, Yuanhong TAO. Unsaturated solutions of a class of nonlinear delay deviation partial difference equations[J]. J.Yanbian University(Natural Science Edition). 2010, 36(2): 95-100.

[8] Yuanhong TAO, Xiudong LI. Frequently Oscillatory Solutions for Nonlinear Delay Partial Difference Equations[J]. J. Natural Science of Hei Longjiang University. 2010, 27(5) : 591-595.

[9] Yuanhong TAO, Dongmei WU. Frequency oscillation of a class of neutral difference equations[J]. J. Yanbian University(Natural Science Edition). 2011, 37(1): 42-45.